Chapter 3

Research Methodology

As it is indicated in the title, this chapter includes the research methodology of the thesis. This chapter presents the methodology, including the discussions of the research methodology, instrumentations, procedures for data collection and data analysis. The objective of this study is aimed to investigate the communicative competence of Rajabhat Students in Phitsanulok before and after they had undertaken an 8 week course using lesson plans that were constructed under a task-based learning methodology in the English through drama program. The research studies elements of communicative competence and student's perceptions before and after they had completed eight lessons (two hundred minutes per lesson) of task-based learning and drama.

- 1. Sample group
- 2. Research instruments
- 3. Data collection
- 4. Data analysis
- 5. Statistics

Sample group

The sample in this research was English major students of the Faculty of Education at Pibulsongkram Rajabhat University in Phitsanulok. Rajabhat University English major students were selected for numerous reasons. The participants were chosen by purposive sampling. The reasons why the researcher was interested in these students and method were as follows;

1) The researcher solely taught the subject of English language teaching through drama (ENED 372) at the Faculty of Education, Pibulsongkram Rajabhat University to English major students. The year 3 English major students had to study this particular course between 2016 and 2017. 2) The researcher had frequently observed communicative breakdowns in speech from the English major students within the faculty and wanted to investigate further into students' communicative competence and to seek if improvements could be attained. Additionally, the researcher wanted to study students' perceptions towards learning English through drama under a teaching methodology.

3) The researcher had obtained new knowledge on teaching methodologies Whilst learning for a Master Degree in Applied Linguistics for Teachers and wanted to test the effects of using a sole methodology on the students. Task-based learning was selected due to positive reports by teachers and researchers who had implemented the methodology to their classrooms.

Sample group

The sample group for this study was students studying in the third year, majoring in English in the Faculty of Education at Pibulsongkram Rajabhat University. The year 3 English major students all participated in the pre and post questionnaires and were used for the pre and post test drama tasks set under the rubric for testing their communicative competence. The group consisted of 34 students, 27 females and 7 males.

Research Instruments

The research instruments of this study were for both qualitative and quantitative data. Questionnaires and a rubric were used.

A rubric was used as a measurement tool in the research for task-based learning and drama to assess communicative competence for Pibulsongkram Rajabhat University students. The rubric was used to assess students' strategic competence and contained 3 elements. Firstly, the rubric included cognitive indicators rated on a 1 to 5 level. The level of 1 indicated little or no response in communication whilst the highest level of 5 depicted native like retorts. Secondly, the rubric contained communicative indicators rated on a 1 to 5 level. Level 1 demonstrated the continual break down of speech acts and failure to complete utterances. Level 5 depicted continued speech in a native like manner. Thirdly, the rubric comprised of educational compensatory indicators that were graded on a 1 to 5 level. Level 1 indicated low levels of non verbal strategies with inappropriateness or lack of understanding whilst level 5 demonstrated native like

non verbal strategies. The Rubric was inspected by 5 experienced PhD lecturers from Pibulsongkram Rajabhat University to test for appropriateness in relation to testing strategic competence with IOC.

The questionnaires consisted of 10 closed ended questions on a 5 point Likert Scale to elicit information regarding students' own perceptions of learning English through drama using task-based learning. The Likert scale rated from 1 to 5 with the lowest number indicating poor, 2 equating to fair, 3 showing good, and 4 very good with 5 representing excellent. 4 open ended questions were added to the pre and post questionnaires for the participants to expand on their thoughts. Interviews were conducted in the latter part of the task-based course in drama to allow students to express their perceptions towards learning task-based learning and drama.

Questionnaires were distributed to all the students at the beginning of the course in order to express their own perceptions of their ability and thoughts towards learning task-based learning and drama. The questionnaires were created by the researcher and checked by 5 experienced university lecturers from the Faculty of Education and Faculty of Humanities at Pibulsongkram Rajabhat University. Dr. Nikom Nak Ai, Dr. Rachanaphon Pawanansookha, Dr. Sakon Kerpol, Dr. Dissaya Supharatyothin, and Dr. Khunakorn Khongchana inspected the questionnaires for appropriateness. The questionnaires asked students to rate perceptions of their speaking ability, response, fluency, use of non verbal strategies, filling words or expressions, pronunciation, confidence, collaboration skills, vocabulary construction and appropriate language use in certain situations.

A second set of questionnaires were distributed to the students in order to analyse feelings about their abilities and perceptions after they have completed a course using task-based learning and drama. The data was collected and tested for reliability using non parametric tests. The first and second sets of questionnaires were used to compare data in a pre and post method. The questionnaires contained 10 closed ended questions set on a 5 point Likert scale and 4 open ended questions echoing the first set of questionnaires. The questionnaires were also inspected by the 5 PhD lecturers. The participants are interviewed on the latter stages of completing the course in a semi-structured interview to record their feelings, perceptions and opinions of the course using task-based learning and drama as well as feelings towards their own communicative competence. The researcher selects various groups for the interviews. The interviews are to be recorded and transcribed later to interpret the data.

Lesson plan

The lesson plan was constructed purposively in order to achieve student's progression and increase confidence throughout the course. The lesson plans were presented to an English teacher for comments before the English through drama course commenced.

Week	Торіс	Objective	Method	Time
1	Introductions in	For students to be	Show students a	200
	formal and non	able to meet and	video clip of	minutes
	formal situations.	greet people in	greetings from	(4periods)
	Greeting and leave	various situations.	around the world.	
	taking	For students to write	Students work in	
		basic dialogues in	pairs to perform a	
		English.	self constructed	
			role-play.	
2	Non-verbal	For students to	Play a video clip	200
	communication	understand and	of Mr. Bean to	minutes
		practice non-verbal	demonstrate using	(4periods)
		communication using	non verbal	
		body language to	language to	
		convey a story.	illustrate a story or	
			idea. The students	
			then perform a	
			story.	

Table 1 Lesson plan

Table 1 (per)

Week	Торіс	Objective	Method	Time
3	Cinderella story	For students to	Play a running	200
		develop creativity and	dictation game	minutes
		write scripts for drama	with the Cinderella	(4periods)
		production. To work	story. Students	
		with their peers and	write an alternative	
		create a drama	version and	
		production.	perform their	
			activity.	
4	Strangers on the	For students to	Show a video clip	200
	train	develop social	of passengers	minutes
		interaction and	talking on a train	(4periods)
		conversation in real life	and allow students	
		situations. To learn	to write and	
		improvisation	perform a script.	
		techniques.		
5	Role-play with	For students to	Discuss problems	200
	conflict	understand logical	within families and	minutes
		debate and reasoning.	show a video clip	(4periods)
		To display various	of students	
		emotions in a drama.	performing a role-	
			play with conflict.	
6	Travel agent and	For students to	Group discussion	200
	booking a ticket	understand and	about the process	minutes
		perform the procedure	of booking a flight	(4periods)
		of booking an air ticket	ticket at a travel	
		and to develop	agency. A small	
		communication	video clip to	
		skills in a real life style	demonstration.	
		situation.		

Table 1 (per)

Week	Торіс	Objective	Method	Time
7	At the airport, check	For students to	A video clip of an	200
	in, customs, flight	understand the final	airport check in	minutes
	and ordering a taxi	process of air travel	conversation and	(4periods)
		in a real life style	discussion about	
		situation and to	the task involved.	
		develop	Students write and	
		communicative skills.	perform a drama.	
8	Interview a famous	For students to	A couple of video	200
	person.	develop	clips of various	minutes
		communication skills	chat show hosts	(4periods)
		in pairs with question	interviewing	
		forming and	guests. Student	
		answering.	performance	

Evaluation of student's communicative competence

The student's are evaluated during their task cycle stage for their communicative competence. Prime focus will be on the strategic competence displayed. The drama performances are evaluated by the teacher under a criterion whilst the students also critic their peers as part of the analysis stage of task-based learning. Strategic competence is rated by their effectiveness to convey a story or message and the linking of sentences or phrases between the group's participants. A further couple of components relating to props and costume are judged by the teacher. However, this was not related to the communicative competence of the students. The selected component of communicative competence, strategic competence is marked on a level of 1-5. Five is deemed to display high levels of strategic competence whilst a rating of one indicates a very low level of competence. The student's strategic competence was measured using the criterion on page 50. The indicators and criterion are segments of a paradigm used by Tereshchuk which was based on a spoken English Trinity College, London to test strategic levels (Tereshchuk, 2013).

Table 2 Framework to test strategic competence

Criterion	Indicators
Cognitive	Ability to provide quick communicative
	reaction
Communicative	Relative continuity of speech and
	completion of utterances
Educational compensatory	Ability to adequately use non verbal
	strategies

Table 3 Cognitive

Indicators	
5 - Students show quick native like retorts	
in communication	
4 – Students show good speed for EFL	
learners in communicative acts	
3 – Students provide a slightly delayed	
communication response	
2 – Students have long pauses in	
communicative reactions	
1 - Students show little or no response in	
communicative acts	

Table 4 Communicative

Communicative	Indicators
	5 – Students can continue speech and
	complete utterances in a native like
	manner
	4 – Students show a good level for EFL
	learners of continued speech and can
	complete utterances
	3 – Students show some breakdownsin
	speech and/or sometimes fail to complete
	parts of speech
	2 – Students display numerousbreakdowns
	in utterances and/or often fail to complete
	utterances
	1 – Students continually break down
	speech and always fail to complete
	utterances

Table 5 Educational compensatory

Educational compensatory	Indicators
	5 – Students show native like non verbal
	strategies
	4 – Students show a range of non verbal
	strategies typical for intermediate EFL
	learners
	3 – Students display a moderate amount
	of non verbal strategies
	2 – Students display non verbal strategies
	with errors or an inappropriate manner
	1 – Students show low levels on non
	verbal strategies with inappropriateness or
	lack of understanding

Data collection

For the purposes of this research, a pre-test drama task was implemented before the course commenced. The researcher used a rubric to test the student's strategic competence. The strategic competence was adjudged on a 1-5 scale using cognitive, communicative and education compensatory indicators in a pre-test and post test drama task. In addition, video footage was taken during the task stage and made available to PhD lecturers at Pibulsongkram Rajabhat University to check for reliability. The post test data collection mirrored the pre-test procedure by using the same rubric to test strategic competence using the 3 indicators. Questionnaires were distributed amongst the participants to gather information appertaining to students' perceptions towards learning drama under a task-based framework. As far as data collection tools were concerned, the conduction of the research involved the use of questionnaires, which were also used as an interview guide for the researcher. 34 questionnaires were distributed to the participants before the course began and 34 more questionnaires were distributed at the end of course. The lesson plans designed by the researcher to teach the course were scrutinised by 5 experienced PhD lecturers at the university before the research was implemented.

Data analysis

In relation to improving student's communicative competence data was collected and analysed from the rubric scores and converted into mean and S.D. The first procedure of the data analysis involved determining the mean and standard deviation of the pre-test and post-test score. In this research a non parametric test was used to compare the scores after being computerised and then checked for significant difference.

Data analysis for the student's perceptions in relation to using task-based learning and drama was collected and analysed. A psychometric scale was used in the questionnaire to assess the 34 participants about feelings and perceptions towards the course. In this study, a 5 point Likert Scale was used. A dissection of the Likert Scale is represented below.

Table 6 Likert Scale

Score	Corresponding remark
5	Excellent
4	Very good
3	Good
2	Fair
1	Poor

Statistics

The completed statistics were collected and analysed to see if they answer the research objectives and hypotheses. The appropriate statistical analysis programs were selected with the advice of Dr. Nikom Nak Ai, a statistical expert in the field of education. Firstly, the non parametric test statistics from 9 groups from the pre and post tests served under the rubricwere checked to investigate the effects of using task-based learning and drama for students' communicative competence. Furthermore, to seek if the statistics obtained answered the first hypothesis; student's communicative competence will be significantly higher in their post-test score than their pre-test score. The value set at a level of significance of <.005. Secondly, the statistics collected from the pre and post questionnaires were checked in order to establish if they answered the second research objective and hypotheses appertaining to student's perceptions towards learning English by using the task-based learning method and drama. Statistics showed the participants of the study's T-test compared scores to answer the second objective. Furthermore, the data answered the second hypothesis and revealed that students increased perceptions at a high level learning task-based learning and drama. T-test scores to 10 closed questions were set to a level of significance of <.005.